



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/036,809	12/31/2001	Ge Nong	01-HK-048 (STMI01-01048)	5323
75	90 03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
Lisa K. Jorgenson			MURPHY, RHONDA L	
STMicroelectro			***	
1310 Electronics Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Carrollton, TX 75006			2616	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/036,809	NONG, GE	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rhonda Murphy	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the a	mendment filed 1/3/06		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		procedution as to t	ao morito is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	ie ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under L	.x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 400 O.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	•,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	• •	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
		•	
Application Papers	•	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)	•
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.6. § 11	3(a)-(d) 01 (1).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recoived	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		action No	
	• •		ol Ctomo
		eived in this Nationa	ai Stage
application from the International Bureau	` ''	-i d	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eivea.	
	•		
		1	
Attachment(s)			
) DNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma		· TO 450)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (P	10-152)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/3/06. Accordingly, claims 1-20 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishna et al. (US 6,563,837).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Krishna teaches a switch fabric (Fig. 1; network device 49) comprising: N input buffers (queues 56, 57, 58) capable of receiving incoming fixed-size data packets at a first data rate and outputting said fixed-size data packets at a second data rate equal to at least twice said first data rate (col. 8, lines 34-38); N output buffers (queues 65) capable of receiving fixed-size data packets at said second data rate (col. 8, lines 10-15, 34-38); and a bufferless, non-blocking interconnecting network (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 63-65; col. 6, lines 60-61; channels 80 – 88 form crossbar 89, which does not include any buffers) capable of receiving from said N input buffers said fixed-size data packets at said second data rate and transferring said fixed-size data packets to said N output buffers at said second data rate (col. 8, lines 10-15, 34-38).

Art Unit: 2667

Krishna fails to explicitly disclose outputting said fixed-size data packets at said first data rate. However, Krishna discloses inputting packets at a certain data rate (col. 8, lines 34-35) and unloading packets onto output data links according to the speed of those output data links (col. 8, lines 10-15). It is known in the art that packets are input and output at the same data rate.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to realize the output data links output packets at the first data rate, since packets are input and output at the same data rate.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Krishna teaches a bufferless, non-blocking interconnecting network, comprising a bufferless crossbar (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 63-65; col. 6, lines 60-61; channels 80 – 88 form crossbar 89, which does not include any buffers).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Krishna teaches each of said N input buffers is at least twice the size of each of said N output buffers (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Krishna teaches a plurality of fixed-size data packet switches (all elements of Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 35-36), at least one of said fixed-size data packet switches comprising: N input ports capable of receiving incoming fixed-size data packets at a first data rate and outputting said fixed-size data packets at said first data rate (Fig. 1, ports 50, 51, 52; col. 8, lines 34-38); N output ports capable of receiving fixed-size data packets at said first data rate (ports 59, 60, 61; col. 8, lines 10-15); and a switch fabric (network device 49; col. 6, lines 60-61) interconnecting said N input ports and said N output ports comprising: N input buffers (queues 56, 57, 58) capable of receiving incoming fixed-size data packets at a first data rate and outputting said fixed-

Art Unit: 2667

size data packets at a second data rate equal to at least twice said first data rate (col. 8, lines 34-38); N output buffers (queues 65) capable of receiving fixed-size data packets at said second data rate (col. 8, lines 10-15, 34-38); and a bufferless, non-blocking interconnecting network (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 63-65; col. 6, lines 60-61; channels 80 – 88 form crossbar 89, which does not include any buffers) capable of receiving from said N input buffers said fixed-size data packets at said second data rate and transferring said fixed-size data packets to said N output buffers at said second data rate (col. 8, lines 10-15, 34-38).

Krishna fails to explicitly disclose outputting said fixed-size data packets at said first data rate. However, Krishna discloses inputting packets at a certain data rate (col. 8, lines 34-35) and unloading packets onto output data links according to the speed of those output data links (col. 8, lines 10-15). It is known in the art that packets are input and output at the same data rate.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to realize the output data links output packets at the first data rate, since packets are input and output at the same data rate.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Krishna teaches a bufferless, non-blocking interconnecting network comprising a bufferless crossbar (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 63-65; col. 6, lines 60-61; channels 80 – 88 form crossbar 89, which does not include any buffers).

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Krishna teaches each of said N input buffers is at least twice the size of each of said N output buffers (see Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Krishna teaches a scheduling controller capable of scheduling transfer of said fixed-size data packets from said N input ports to said switch fabric (arbiter 90; col. 8, lines 24-38).

Regarding claims 11 and 18, Krishna teaches a scheduling controller capable of scheduling transfer of said fixed-size data packets from said N output ports to an external device (col. 8, lines 5-15; 24-38).

Regarding claims 12 and 19, Krishna teaches a scheduling controller capable of scheduling transfer of said fixed-size data packets from said N input buffers to said bufferless, non-blocking interconnecting network (col. 8, lines 5-15; 24-38).

Regarding claims 13 and 20, Krishna teaches a scheduling controller capable of scheduling transfer of said fixed-size data packets from said N output buffers to said N output ports (col. 8, lines 5-15; 24-38).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-3185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 2667

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rhonda Murphy Examiner Art Unit 2667

RM

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chore To Nfreue

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600